



Belastingdienst

Specifications

ValidationTestService (VTS)

**Bijheffing -
informatieaangifte**

10 augustus 2026

ValidationTestService Bijheffing informatieaangifte (BIA)

Testfacility

If you develop software for the filing of messages to the Dutch Tax and Customs Administration, you can validate the messages generated by your software using the ValidationTestService (VTS).

The url for the VTS is: <https://vts.belastingdienst.nl/TestWeb>.

The parameters for this messagetype are:

- regeling (messagetype) : Bijheffing-informatieaangifte
- specification (year) : 2026
- releasenummer : v6.0.0 (XML)

What can you do with the VTS?

You can use the ValidationTestService (VTS) to validate your XML files and to check if your messages meet the specifications applicable to the relevant message.

In the VTS, the test message is subject to the following checks:

- encoding and Character Set
- well-formed check: does the message meet the XML standards
- syntax check: does the message meet the XSD schema
- consistency check: are the data in the message mutually consistent and do they meet the allowed values as documented in the specifications.

The results will appear on your screen immediately after the validation.

The VTS is subject to restrictions with respect to the specifications.

These restrictions are documented below.

Limitations of the VTS

- The VTS performs the checks on a single message. The VTS has no history of previously sent messages.
- Moreover, the substantive tax correctness of the data in the message cannot be tested, but the consistency between those data can be tested.
- Checks that require a link with other systems of the Dutch Tax and Customs Administration during the validation in the VTS, will not be carried out. It concerns, for example, the check on the correctness of the RSIN/tax reference number or the relationship between the RSIN/tax reference number and date of birth.

Limitations specific for this messagetype

The VTS does not handle information in attributes or on repetitive elements correctly. Conditions on such elements will not be checked.

RULE 50009 stipulates that the use of test data indicators is prohibited. This rule is included in the VTS, despite that the purpose of the VTS is testing.

The following conditions will not be checked due to the limitations of the VTS:

Condition	Errormessage
RULE-60002	The GIR Message Header has a duplicate MessageRefID value that was received on a previous file.
RULE-60007	The DocRefId is already used for another record.
RULE-60008	The CorrDocRefId refers to an unknown record.
RULE-60009	The corrected record is no longer valid (invalidated or outdated by a previous correction message).
RULE-60010	The FilingInfo cannot be deleted without deleting all related sections.
RULE-60014	An unknown or invalid DocRefId was specified for the Resend option.
RULE-60022	The FilingCE TIN does not match any TIN in the UPE element.
RULE-70001	The TIN element and/or attributes are invalid for TypeOfTIN GIR30004.
RULE-70002	The TIN element and/or attributes are invalid TIN 'NOTIN'.
RULE-70003	The TIN element and/or attributes are invalid for flag unknown 'true'.
RULE-70004	The Dutch TIN is invalid.
RULE-70005	Attribute issuedBy and/or TypeOfTIN is missing.
RULE-70006	The mandatory TIN is missing.
RULE-70007	The structure of the TIN is not in the correct format for TypeOfTIN GIR3003.
RULE-70010	The UPE/OtherUPE ResCountryCode is invalid.
RULE-70011	More than 1 value is submitted for the CE ResCountryCode.
RULE-70012	The Rules Value is not the same for all entities.
RULE-70021	The value of the CE GlobeStatus is invalid.
RULE-70025	The TIN element and/or its attributes are invalid for OwnershipType GIR805 or GIR806.
RULE-70027	The OwnershipPercentage, OwnershipType and/or the TIN element and/or its attributes are invalid for GlobeStatus GIR318.
RULE-70029	The TIN does not match an OtherUPE/ID/TIN or Excluded/ID/TIN.
RULE-70030	The TIN does not match a CE/ID/TIN.
RULE-70031	The Ownership/TIN does not match a TIN of a Main Entity (GIR306).
RULE-70033	The TIN does not match a TIN in the CorporateStructure.
RULE-70036	The number of Subgroups does not match the number of Summary sections.
RULE-70037	The JurisdictionSection Subgroup is missing or the TIN does not match a corresponding TIN in the JurisdictionSection/GLOBETax/ETR/Subgroup.
RULE-70038	The value of SafeHarbour is invalid.
RULE-70039	The value of SafeHarbour is invalid.
RULE-70045	TransitionalCbCRSafeHarbour is missing.
RULE-70047	Revenue element is missing.

RULE-70048	IncomeTax element is missing.
RULE-70049	UTPRSafeHarbour element is missing.
RULE-70050	NonMaterialCE element is missing.
RULE-70051	AggregateSimplified element is missing.
RULE-70052	SubstanceExclusion element is missing.
RULE-70053	SubstanceExclusion element is missing.
RULE-70057	The TIN does not match the TaxConsolGroupTIN.
RULE-70058	The InvestmentEntityTIN matches the CEComputations TIN.
RULE-70059	A value for the AdjustmentItem is used more than once.
RULE-70063	A value for the AdjustmentItem is used more than once.
RULE-70080	A value for the AdjustmentItem is used more than once.
RULE-70106	The OtherTIN matches the CECmputations TIN.
RULE-70107	The CrossBorderAdjustments element must not be submitted.
RULE-70114	Both values of the Amount are negative or positive.
RULE-70118	Both values of the Amount are negative or positive.
RULE-70119	A value for the AdjustmentItem is used more than once.
RULE-70121	A value for the AdjustmentItem is used more than once.
RULE-70122	Both values of the Amount are negative or positive.

Extra information:

- The VTS can process files up to 5 Mb
- The VTS reports up to 100 consistency-errors per validation

History

Date	Version	Change
12-01-2026	1.0 en 2.0	Initial version
02-04-2026	3.0	Check on RULE- 60025 corrected
29-04-2026	4.0	Check on Rule- 70034 en 70035 corrected
26-05-2026	5.0	In the new VTS version of the BIA 2026, the new specifications (Version 1.1 – 20260508) have been incorporated. The check on RULE-70061 (Adjustments/AdjustmentItem in the AdjustedCoveredTax group) has also been updated and improved. In addition, there were RULEs containing calculations for which the validations were not being executed correctly. These validations have now been improved.